

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,835	PACE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sangwoo Ahn	2166	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Sangwoo Ahn.

(3) _____.

(2) Micah D. Stolzowicz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 August 2006

Time: 4:30PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Minor informalities and 112 2nd

Claims discussed:

1 - 20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative Attorney Micah D. Stolowitz (Reg. No. 43,403) about the minor informalities such as tylogical errors and 112 2nd issues. The representative agreed with the Examiner's suggestions and authorization was given for the Examiner's Amendment. .